

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. [FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/657,862	-	09/09/2003	Christopher Richard Doerr	Doerr 73-13 (LCNT/125620)	2054
46363	7590	01/13/2006		EXAM	INER
PATTERSON & SHERIDAN, LLP/ LUCENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC 595 SHREWSBURY AVENUE			KIANNI, KAVEH C		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SHREWSI	BURY, NJ	07702		2883	
				DATE MAILED: 01/13/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	\bigcirc
10/657,862	DOERR ET AL.	(m)
Examiner	Art Unit	
Kianni C. Kaveh	2883	

	Kianni C. Kaveh	2883	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>19 December 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff pitice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bei	• •	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		cotod ciaims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mnliant Amendment	(DTOL_324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		impliant Americanent	(I TOL-02-7).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will vided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other: See Continuation Sheet.	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
10. M Outer. Dee Continuation Street.			
A	PRIMA	PY EXAMINER	
W\\			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation of 13. Other: see attached communication paper which was previously mailed to Applicant on paper no. 8, 1/03/2006.

KAVEH KIANNI PRIMARY EXAMINER



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/657,862	09/09/2003	Christopher Richard Doerr	Doerr 73-13 (LCNT/125620)	2054
46363	7590 01/03/2006		EXAM	INER
PATTERSO	N & SHERIDAN, L	LP/	KIANNI, I	CAVEH C
LUCENT TE	CHNOLOGIES, INC			
	SBURY AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SHREWSBU	JRY, NJ 07702		2883	
			DATE MAIL ED. 01/02/200	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

DATE MAILED:

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

ua.

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
				EXAMINER	
			ART UNIT	PAPER	
				8	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

Upon careful examination of the Declaration submitted by the applicant on 12/19/05, a panel of expaert(s) in the field of MPEP decided that the affidavit is not sufficient to overcome the rejection since the applicant has not at least established:

A) Conception

B) Due Diligence until Reduction To Practice from the Conception

(See 37 CFR 1.31)

KAVEH KIANNI PRIMARY **EXAMINE**

PTO-90C (Rev.04-03)